

**COURT-II**  
**IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY**  
**(Appellate Jurisdiction)**

**APPEAL NO. 51 OF 2018**  
**APPEAL NO. 52 OF 2018 & IA NO. 1103 OF 2018 AND**  
**APPEAL NO. 53 OF 2018 & IA NO. 1102 OF 2018 AND**  
**APPEAL NO. 57 OF 2018**

**Dated: 17<sup>th</sup> August, 2018**

**Present: Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.K. Patil, Judicial Member**  
**Hon'ble Mr. S. D. Dubey, Technical Member**

**In the matters of:**

**APPEAL NO. 51 OF 2018**

**Jindal India Thermal Power Limited** .... **Appellant(s)**  
**Versus**  
**Central Electricity Regulatory Commission &Ors.** .... **Respondent(s)**

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Hemant Singh  
Ms. Ankita Bafna.

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Ms. Parichita Chowdhury  
*h/f* Ms. Swapna Seshadri for R-2

**APPEAL NO. 52 OF 2018 & IA NO. 1103 OF 2018**

**M/s Vedanta Limited** .... **Appellant(s)**  
**Versus**  
**Central Electricity Regulatory Commission &Ors.** .... **Respondent(s)**

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Hemant Singh  
Ms. Ankita Bafna.

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Ms. Parichita Chowdhury  
*h/f* Ms. Swapna Seshadri for R-2

**APPEAL NO. 53 OF 2018 & IA NO. 1102 OF 2018**

**IND Barath Energy (Utkal) Limited** .... **Appellant(s)**  
**Versus**  
**Central Electricity Regulatory Commission &Ors.** .... **Respondent(s)**

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Hemant Singh  
Ms. Ankita Bafna

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Ms. Parichita Chowdhury  
*h/f* Ms. Swapna Seshadri for R-2

**APPEAL NO. 57 OF 2018**

**GMR Kamalanga Energy Limited**

.... **Appellant(s)**

**Versus**

**Central Electricity Regulatory Commission &Ors.**

.... **Respondent(s)**

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Hemant Singh  
Ms. Ankita Bafna.

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Ms. Parichita Chowdhury  
h/f Ms. Swapna Seshadri for R-2

**ORDER**

**IA No. 1103 & 1102 of 2018**  
**(Appl. for condonation of delay in filing rejoinder)**

The learned counsel, Mr. Hemant Singh, appearing for Appellant submitted that there is a delay of 21 days in filing the rejoinders which has been explained satisfactorily and sufficient cause has been made out in the application. The same may kindly be accepted and delay in filing the reply may kindly be condoned.

Submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant, as stated above, is placed on record.

In the light of the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant and after perusal of the application explaining the delay in filing the rejoinder, we find it satisfactory as sufficient cause has been made out. The same is accepted and the delay in filing the rejoinder is condoned. The IAs'are allowed.

**APPEAL NO. 51 OF 2018**  
**APPEAL NO. 52 OF 2018 AND**  
**APPEAL NO. 53 OF 2018 AND**  
**APPEAL NO. 57 OF 2018**

The learned counsel appearing for the Appellant and the learned counsel appearing for the Respondents submitted that pleadings are complete in this matter.

Submissions of the learned counsel for the Appellant and the learned counsel for the Respondents, as stated above, are placed on record.

Post this batch of appeals for hearing on **20.11.2018** as agreed by the learned counsel appearing for the parties.

**(S. D. Dubey)**  
**Technical Member**

Bn/kt

**(Justice N. K. Patil)**  
**Judicial Member**